arXiv:math/0404212v1 [math.AG] 10 Apr 2004

# ZETA FUNCTIONS AND ALEXANDER MODULES

*by*

Johannes Nicaise

***Abstract.*** — We introduce the étale framework to study Igusa zeta functions in several variables, generalizing the machinery of vanishing cycles in the univariate case. We define the étale Alexander modules, associated to a morphism of varieties $F : X \to \mathbb{A}^r_k$, a geometric point $\xi$ of $X$, and an object in the derived category $D^b_c(F^{-1}(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. These are sheaves of modules on the scheme $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})$ of continuous characters $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})^t \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{\times}$. We formulate Loeser's Monodromy and Holomorphy Conjectures for multivariate $p$-adic zeta functions, and prove them in the case where $dim(X) = 2$, generalizing results from the univariate case. Furthermore, we prove a comparison theorem with the transcendent case, we study a formula of Denef's for the zeta function in terms of a simultaneous embedded resolution, and we generalize a result concerning the degree of the zeta function to our setting.

## 1. Introduction

Let us recall the classical definition of a (univariate) local zeta function, as it was given by Igusa **[16][17][18][19]**. A survey of the univariate theory can be found in Denef's Bourbaki report **[7]**.

In its simplest form, a local zeta function is defined by the $p$-adic integral

$$Z(f,s) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^n} |f(x)|^s |dx|\,,$$

where $s$ is a complex variable, $f$ is a polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ in $n$ variables, $|f|$ is its $p$-adic norm, and $|dx|$ denotes the Haar measure on the compact group $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$, normalized to give $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ measure 1. A priori, $Z(f,s)$ is only defined when $\Re(s) > 0$. However, Igusa proved, using resolution of singularities, that it has a meromorphic continuation to the complex plane. Moreover, it is a rational function in $p^{-s}$. The numerical data of an embedded resolution for $f$ yield a set of candidate poles of $Z(f,s)$, but, since this set depends on the chosen resolution, a lot of these candidate poles will not be actual poles of $Z(f,s)$.

When $f$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, Igusa's Monodromy Conjecture 4.2.1 predicts an interesting connection between the eigenvalues of the monodromy at some point of

$f^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, and the poles of $Z(f,s)$, for almost all primes $p$, where $f$ is considered as a complex, respectively $p$-adic polynomial. When the zeta function is twisted by introducing a multiplicative character $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, Denef's Holomorphy Conjecture 4.2.2 states that poles only occur when the order of $\chi$ divides the order of an eigenvalue of the monodromy at some point of $f^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$.

In this paper, we consider multivariate zeta functions, associated to an $r$-tuple of polynomials $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$. They are defined in Section 2. Multivariate local zeta functions were already studied by Loeser in [**22**]. We state his generalizations of the Monodromy Conjecture 4.4.1 and the Holomorphy Conjecture 4.4.2. Since in general, $F$ does not induce a locally trivial fibration near a singular point of the complex zero locus, we no longer have a geometric Milnor fiber at our disposal. However, the formalism of nearby cycles still makes sense in the multivariate setting, as was shown by Sabbah in [**30**], such that we do have something like a cohomological Milnor fiber: the Alexander complex (actually, it already exists as a motivic object, see [**15**]). The monodromy action makes these cohomological objects into $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^r]$-modules, and the support of the induced coherent sheaves on $Spec\,\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^r]$ generalizes the eigenvalues of the monodromy transformation. Sabbah also proved a generalized A'Campo formula, expressing the monodromy zeta function of the Alexander complex at a singular point in terms of a simultaneous embedded resolution for the polynomials $f_i$.

Using ideas from [**13**], we introduce the étale counterpart of this Alexander complex. The Alexander modules are associated to a morphism of varieties $F : X \to \mathbb{A}_k^r$, a geometric point $\xi$ of $X$, and an object in the derived category $D_c^b(F^{-1}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. They are sheaves of modules on the scheme $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$ of continuous characters $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)^t \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$. We prove a comparison theorem, stating that our definition is equivalent to the definition of the étale nearby cycles if $r = 1$, and to the transcendent one if our base field is the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. In the latter case, the stalk of the $q$-th Alexander module at a continuous character $\chi : \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)^t \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$ corresponds to the subspace of the $q$-th transcendent Alexander module on which the semi-simple part of the monodromy acts as multiplication by $\chi$.

Let us give an overview of the structure of the paper. In Section 2, we define the multivariate local zeta functions, and we recall a result of Igusa's concerning their rationality, and the set of candidate poles associated to a simultaneous embedded resolution (Theorem 2.3.1). In Section 3, we define the Alexander modules, and we prove that their support is contained in a finite union of translated cotori of codimension one in the scheme of continuous characters $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$ (Proposition 3.8.1). The generalized Monodromy and Holomorphy Conjectures are stated in Section 4, while Section 5 contains the comparison theorems mentioned above (Proposition 5.0.4 and 5.0.5), making use of a local computation in the normal crossings case. In Section 6, we study a generalization of Denef's formula for the local zeta function in terms of the geometry of the exceptional locus of a simultaneous embedded resolution (Theorem 6.0.6). This allows us to prove a criterion to unmask certain candidate poles that do not contribute to the polar locus of the local zeta function (Theorem

6.0.10). We prove a result concerning the limit of the zeta function at $-\infty$ in Section 7, Theorem 7.0.11. To conclude, in Section 8, we prove the generalized Monodromy and Holomorphy Conjecture in the case where $dim(X) = 2$.

## 2. Several variables Igusa local zeta functions

**2.1.** — Let us fix some notation. We choose a prime number $p$. We denote by $K$ a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, with ring of integers $R$, and residue field $k$, a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$. We choose an uniformizing parameter $\varpi$ and denote by $q$ the cardinality of $k$. We denote by val the valuation on $K$ and we normalize the norm on $K$ by setting $|x| = q^{-\mathrm{val}(x)}$. For $x$ non zero in $K$, we define $\mathrm{ac}(x)$ as the image in $k$ of $x\varpi^{-\mathrm{val}(x)}$ and we set $\mathrm{ac}(x) = 0$ if $x = 0$.
Let $X$ be a smooth compact $p$-adic (locally) analytic variety (hence $X$ is a finite disjoint union of balls) of pure dimension $d$ and let $\omega$ be a gauge form on $X$, i.e. a nowhere vanishing analytic $n$-form on $X$. In a standard way (cf. **[31]**), we may attach to $\omega$ a volume form $|\omega|$ on $X$.

**2.2.** — We denote by $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_m^r(k), \mathbb{C})$ the group of characters

$$\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r) : \mathbb{G}_m^r(k) = (k^*)^r \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*.$$

For any analytic function $F = (f_1, \dots, f_r) : X \to K^r$, for $\phi$ locally constant on $X$, for $\chi$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_m^r(k), \mathbb{C})$ and for $s = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$ in $\mathbb{C}^r$, we consider Igusa's local zeta function

$$Z_\phi(F, \chi; s) := \int_X \phi \prod_{i=1}^{r} \chi_i(\mathrm{ac}(f_i(x))) \prod_{i=1}^{r} |f_i(x)|^{s_i} |\omega|,$$

which is defined when $\Re(s_i) > 0$, for each $i$, where $\Re(s_i)$ denotes the real part of $s_i$. We will often omit $\phi, F, \chi$ from the notation, and denote the zeta function simply by $Z(s)$. Furthermore, when $X = R^n$, and $\phi$ is the characteristic function of $P^n$, with $P$ the maximal ideal in $R$, we write $Z_0(s)$ instead of $Z_\phi(F, \chi; s)$.

**2.3.** — Consider an embedded resolution $\pi : Y \to X$ of the $f_j$'s. By this we mean that $\pi : Y \to X$ is a proper morphism between compact locally analytic $p$-adic varieties, that $\pi$ induces an isomorphism between $Y \setminus \pi^{-1}(D)$ and $X \setminus D$, with $D = \cup_j f_j^{-1}(0)$, and that $\pi^{-1}(D)$ is a divisor $E$ with (global) normal crossings. We denote by $E_i$, $i \in I$, the set of irreducible components of $E$. In particular, the $E_i$ are smooth. We denote by $N_i^j$ the order of vanishing of $f_j \circ \pi$ at the generic point of $E_i$, and by $\nu_i - 1$ the order of vanishing of $\pi^*\omega$ at the generic point of $E_i$. We shall write $N_i s$ for $\sum_j N_i^j s_j$, and $\chi^{N_i}$ for $\prod_j \chi_j^{N_i^j}$.

***2.3.1. Theorem* (Igusa).** — *The function $Z_\phi(F, \chi; s)$ is a rational function in the variables $q^{-s_j}$. Furthermore, given an embedded resolution $\pi : Y \to X$ of the $f_j$'s,* *a necessary condition for* $Z_\phi(F,\chi;s)$ *to have a pole for a given value of* $\chi$ *and* $s$ *is that*

$$\prod_{i\in I}(1-q^{-\nu_i}q^{-N_i s})=0$$

*and*

$$\prod_{i\in I}(\chi^{N_i}-1)=0.$$

**2.4.** — By Theorem 2.3.1, the set of real parts of the poles of $Z_\phi(F,\chi;s)$ are located on a finite set of affine hyperplanes

$$H:\quad \sum_j N^j s_j+\nu=0$$

with $N^j$ in $\mathbf{N}$ and $\nu>0$. We denote this finite set of hyperplanes by $\mathcal{P}(Z_\phi(F,\chi;s))$.

## 3. Alexander modules

**3.1. Notations and conventions.** — We fix an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic exponent $p$ (i.e. $p=1$ when $k$ is of characteristic 0, and $p$ is equal to the characteristic of $k$ when $k$ is of characteristic $>0$). We fix a prime number $l\neq p$. We denote by $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_l$. We set $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k):=\varprojlim_{(p,n)=1}\mu_n(k)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)(k):=\varprojlim_n \mu_{l^n}(k)$. Let $T$ be a $k$-torus, $T\simeq\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}$. We set $X_*(T):=\mathrm{Hom}_{k-\mathrm{gr}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k},T)$, $\hat{X}_*(T):=X_*(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k)$ and $X_{*l}(T):=X_*(T)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}_l(1)(k)$. We denote by $\pi_1(T)$ the fundamental group of $T$ pointed at 1, by $\pi_1(T)^t$ the tame fundamental group of $T$, and by $\pi_1(T)_l$ the maximal pro-$l$ quotient of $\pi_1(T)^t$. By Kummer theory, there are canonical isomorphisms $\hat{X}_*(T)\simeq\pi_1(T)^t$ and $X_{*l}(T)\simeq\pi_1(T)_l$.

**3.2. Torsion by the generic character.** — Let $R$ be a complete regular local ring with residual characteristic $l$. For every $k$-torus $T$, we set

$$\Omega^R_T:=R[[\pi_1(T)_l]].$$

This is a complete regular local ring of residual characteristic $l$. By Kummer theory, $\Omega^R_T$ may be identified with $R[[X_{*l}(T)]]$. For every choice of a generator $\gamma$ of $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)(k)$, we have, by Iwasawa theory, an isomorphism

$$R[[\mathbb{Z}_l(1)(k)]]\simeq R[[t]]$$

sending $\gamma$ to $1+t$. Hence, for every isomorphism $T\simeq(\mathbb{G}_{m,k})^r$, we have, $\gamma$ being given, an isomorphism

$$\Omega^R_T\simeq R[[t_1,\dots,t_r]].$$

There is a canonical continuous character

$$\mathrm{Can}_T:\pi_1(T)\to(\Omega^R_T)^\times$$

obtained by composition of the tautological character $\pi_1(T)_l \to (\Omega_T^R)^\times$, sending $\gamma$ to $\gamma$, with the projection $\pi_1(T) \to \pi_1(T)_l$. We shall denote by $L_T^R$ the corresponding $\Omega_T^R$-sheaf on $T$. It is a tamely ramified lisse constructible free twisted rank 1 $\Omega_T^R$-sheaf on $T$.

**3.3. The scheme $\mathcal{C}(T)$.** — In **[13]**, Gabber and Loeser defined a $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-scheme $\mathcal{C}(T)$ whose set of closed points may be canonically identified with the group of continuous characters $\pi_1(T)^t \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$. It is the disjoint union of the translates of the connected component $\mathcal{C}(T)_l$ of the trivial character by the group of characters $\chi : \pi_1(T)^t \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$ of finite order prime to $l$. By its very definition,

$$\mathcal{C}(T)_l := \operatorname{Spec} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l[[\pi_1(T)_l]].$$

By **[13]** 3.2.2, $\mathcal{C}(T)_l$ is a noetherian regular scheme.

**3.4.** — If $\pi : T \to T'$ is a morphism of tori, we denote by $\pi^\vee : \mathcal{C}(T') \to \mathcal{C}(T)$ the morphism which one deduces by functoriality. We say that $\pi : T \to T'$ is a quotient of $T$, if $\pi$ is surjective with kernel a torus. We call a subscheme of $\mathcal{C}(T)$ of the form $\pi^\vee(\mathcal{C}(T'))$, with $\pi : T \to T'$ a quotient of $T$, a cotorus of $\mathcal{C}(T)$. A subscheme of the form $\chi \cdot \pi^\vee(\mathcal{C}(T'))$, with $\chi$ a closed point of $\mathcal{C}(T)$, is called a translated cotorus. If $Z$ is cotorus translated by a character of order $n$ (i.e. $Z = \chi \cdot \pi^\vee(\mathcal{C}(T'))$ with $\chi$ of order $n$), we set

$$\overline{Z} := \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \chi^i \cdot \pi^\vee(\mathcal{C}(T')).$$

More generally, if $Z$ is a finite union $\cup Z_i$ of cotori translated by a character of finite order, we set $\overline{Z} := \cup_i \overline{Z}_i$.

**3.5.** — Assume $k$ is the algebraic closure of a finite field $k_0$ with $q$ elements. Fix an isomorphism $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Then we may identify $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_m^r(k_0), \mathbb{C})$ with the set of closed points of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$ of order dividing $q-1$, since we have a canonical morphism $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k) \to \mu_{q-1}(k) \simeq k_0^*$.

**3.6.** — Assume $K$ is the algebraic closure of some local field having $k$ as residue field. The canonical injection $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k) \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(K)$ induces an epimorphism $r : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,K}^r) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r)$.

**3.7. Alexander modules.** — Now we consider the affine space

$$\mathbb{A}_k^r := \operatorname{Spec} k[T_1, \ldots, T_r]$$

and the torus $j : T = \operatorname{Spec} k[T_1, T_1^{-1}, \ldots, T_r, T_r^{-1}] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^r$. Let $F : X \to \mathbb{A}_k^r$ be a morphism of $k$-varieties and set $j : X_T := F^{-1}(T) \hookrightarrow X$.

Let $R$ be the ring of integers of a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_l$, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an object in $D_c^b(X_T, R)$. As explained in **[13]** A.1, one can define in a natural way objects

$\mathcal{F}\otimes_R F^* L_T^R$ and $Rj_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes_R F^* L_T^R)$ in $D^b_c(X_T,\Omega_T^R)$ and $D^b_c(X,\Omega_T^R)$, respectively. Hence, for every geometric point $\xi$ of $X\setminus X_T$, and every integer $q\geq 0$, one may consider

$$\mathcal{A}^{q,R}_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F}) := R^q j_*(\mathcal{F}\otimes_R F^* L_T^R)_\xi$$

and view it as a coherent $\Omega_T^R$-module.

Now, if $\mathcal{F}$ is an object in $D^b_c(X_T,\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, we define a coherent $\mathcal{C}(T)$-module $\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F})$, the $q$-th Alexander module of $\mathcal{F}$ at $\xi$, as follows. We choose a model $\mathcal{F}_R$ in $D^b_c(X_T,R)$ for some $R$, and ask for the restriction of $\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F})$ to the connected component $\mathcal{C}(T)_l$ to be equal to

$$\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F})_{|\mathcal{C}(T)_l} := \mathcal{A}^{q,R}_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F}_R)\otimes\mathcal{C}(T)_l,$$

which is clearly independent of the chosen model $\mathcal{F}_R$. For the restriction of $\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F})$ to a general connected component of the form $\{\chi\}\times\mathcal{C}(T)_l$, with $\chi$ a character of finite order prime to $l$, we set

$$\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F})_{|\{\chi\}\times\mathcal{C}(T)_l} := \mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{L}_\chi)_{|\mathcal{C}(T)_l},$$

with $\mathcal{L}_\chi$ the Kummer sheaf attached to $\chi$ (its definition is similar to the construction of $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$ in Section 6).

If $\mathcal{F}$ belongs to $D^b_c(X,\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, we shall write $\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F})$ for $\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(j^*\mathcal{F})$. Also, if $k$ is not algebraically closed, we shall still write $\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F})$ for the Alexander module attached to $F\otimes\bar{k}: X\otimes\bar{k}\to\mathbb{A}^n_{\bar{k}}$.

### 3.8. Support of Alexander modules. —

***3.8.1. Proposition***. — *Let $F: X\to\mathbb{A}^n_k$ be a morphism of $k$-varieties, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an object in $D^b_c(X_T,\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. Then, for every geometric point $\xi$ of $X\setminus X_T$ and every integer $q$, the support of the module $\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F})$ is contained in a finite set, not depending on $\xi$ and $q$, of translated cotori of codimension 1 of $\mathcal{C}(T)$. Furthermore, when $\mathcal{F}=\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, the support of the module $\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is contained in a finite set, not depending on $\xi$ and $q$, of cotori of codimension 1 of $\mathcal{C}(T)$ translated by characters of finite order.*

*Proof.* — We may suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is the extension by zero of a lisse sheaf on a smooth irreducible locally closed subvariety $U$ of $X_T$. We may furthermore assume that $U$ is dense in $X_T$, and that the monodromy of $\mathcal{F}$ is pro-$l$; see [**13**], Prop. 4.3.1'. By de Jong's theorem [**2**], there exists a generically étale alteration $\pi: X'\to X$, where $X'$ is regular, and the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(Z)$ is a normal crossing divisor, with $Z=X-U$. Shrinking $X$ if necessary, we may suppose that $\pi$ is étale over $U$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi^{-1}(U) \arrow[r, "u'"] \arrow[d] & \pi^{-1}(X_T) \arrow[r, "j'"] \arrow[d] & X' \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
U \arrow[r, "u"] & X_T \arrow[r, "j"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

For any object $\mathcal{G}$ in $D^b_c(X_T, R)$, and any character $\chi$ of finite order prime to $l$, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to u_! u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi \to \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi \to i_* i^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi \to 0\,,$$

where $i$ is the inclusion of $Z \cap X_T$ in $X_T$, and $\mathcal{L}_\chi$ is the Kummer sheaf of $\chi$ on $X_T$. Applying the derived functor $R^q j_*$ yields a long exact sequence, hence, it suffices to investigate the support of the Alexander complex of $u_! u^* \mathcal{G}$ and $i_* i^* \mathcal{G}$.
The theorem holds for the latter, by Noetherian induction, since $i_*$ is exact, hence, by Leray's spectral sequence,

$$R^q j_*(i_* i^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi) \cong R^q (j \circ i)_* (i^* \mathcal{G} \otimes i^* \mathcal{L}_\chi)\,.$$

As for the former, by proper base change, one sees that

$$u_! u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi \cong \pi_* u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi\,.$$

Leray's spectral sequence reads

$$E_2^{p,q} = (R^p j_*)(R^q \pi_*)(u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\chi) \Rightarrow R^{p+q} (j \circ \pi)_* (u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\chi)\,.$$

We use the proper base change theorem to compute the stalks of $(R^q \pi_*)(u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\chi)$. These are zero outside of $U$, and since $\pi$ is étale over $U$, they vanish in all other points as well, if $q > 0$. Therefore, our spectral sequence yields isomorphisms

$$R^p j_*(u_! u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\chi) \cong R^p (\pi \circ j')_* (u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\chi)\,.$$

After applying Leray's spectral sequence and proper base change once more, one sees that it suffices to consider the support of $R^p j'_* (u'_! \pi^* u^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\chi)_{\xi'}$, where $\xi'$ is a geometric point of $\pi^{-1}(X \setminus X_T)$.
This means we may assume that $W$ is smooth over $k$, that the complement of $U$ in $X$ is a normal crossing divisor, and that the monodromy of $\mathcal{F}$ on $U$ is pro-$l$. Now one can proceed as in the proof of **[13]**, Proposition 4.3.1'. $\square$

## 4. Conjectures

**4.1.** — In this section, we shall consider a number field $K$, and a smooth algebraic variety $X$ of dimension $d$ over $K$, together with a gauge form $\omega$ on $X$. Let $F := (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ be a morphism $X \to \mathbb{A}^r_K$. For every finite place $\mathfrak{P}$ of $K$, we denote by $K_\mathfrak{P}$ the completion of $K$ and by $R_\mathfrak{P}$ its ring of integers. Taking an appropriate model $\mathcal{X}$ of $X$ over the integers of $K$ imposes, at least for almost all $\mathfrak{P}$, the structure of a smooth compact locally analytic $K_\mathfrak{P}$-variety on $X_\mathfrak{P} := \mathcal{X}(R_\mathfrak{P})$. Also, taking appropriate models of $\omega$ and $F$, we obtain a gauge form $\omega_\mathfrak{P}$ on $X_\mathfrak{P}$ and an analytic function $F_\mathfrak{P} = (f_{1\mathfrak{P}}, \dots, f_{r\mathfrak{P}}) : X_\mathfrak{P} \to K^r_\mathfrak{P}$. All these data are independent of choices, for almost all $\mathfrak{P}$. Hence, for suitable $\chi$ and $\phi$, we can consider Igusa's zeta function $Z_\phi(F_\mathfrak{P}, \chi; s)$.

**4.2.** — Let us first review the $r = 1$ case, i.e. the case when there is only one function $f$. We write $f$ for $F = (f)$.
We shall say a locally constant function $\phi$ on $X_{\mathfrak{P}} := \mathcal{X}(R_{\mathfrak{P}})$ is residual if its value at a point $x$ depends only of the image in $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}})$, where $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ denotes the residue field.

***4.2.1. Monodromy conjecture* (Igusa, [7]).** — *For almost all finite places $\mathfrak{P}$, if $s_0$ is a pole of $Z_\phi(f_{\mathfrak{P}}, \chi; s)$, with $\phi$ residual on $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$, then* $\exp(2\pi i \mathrm{Re}(s_0))$ *is an eigenvalue of the local monodromy at some point of $f^{-1}(0)$.*

***4.2.2. Holomorphy conjecture* (Denef, [8]).** — *For almost all finite places $\mathfrak{P}$, if $Z_\phi(f_{\mathfrak{P}}, \chi_0; s)$, with $\phi$ residual on $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$, is not a holomorphic function of $s$, then the order of $\chi_0$ divides the order of some eigenvalue of the local monodromy at some point of $f^{-1}(0)$.*

Here we should make more precise what is meant by "some eigenvalue of the local monodromy at some point of $f^{-1}(0)$". The easiest way is to fix a complex embedding of $K$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and to stick to the complex points of $X$ with complex topology. We can consider the usual complex of nearby cycles $R\psi\mathbb{C}$ on $f^{-1}(0)$, and then "some eigenvalue of the local monodromy at some point of $f^{-1}(0)$" means "some eigenvalue of the monodromy action on some cohomology object of the stalk $(R\psi\mathbb{C})_x$ at some complex point $x$ of $f^{-1}(0)$". More algebraically, and this amounts to the same by the classical comparison theorems, one could consider the complex of nearby cycles $R\psi\mathbb{Q}_l$ on $f^{-1}(0)$ for the étale topology and $l$-adic cohomology, and interprete it as "some eigenvalue of the geometric monodromy action on some cohomology object of the stalk $(R\psi\mathbb{Q}_l)_x$ at some geometric point $x$ of $f^{-1}(0)$".

**4.3.** — We fix an isomorphism $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \simeq \mathbb{C}$. In particular, we have an embedding of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by $\gamma$ the topological generator $\gamma = (\zeta_n)$, $\zeta_n = \exp(2\pi i/n)$, of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q})$. To an affine hyperplane $H$ with equation $\sum_j N^j s_j + \nu = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^r$, with $N^j$ and $\nu$ in $\mathbf{N}$, $\nu > 0$, we associate $\mathcal{H}$, a finite union of cotori translated by a character of finite order in $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{G}_{m,\bar{K}})$, as follows. We deduce from $\gamma$ a topological basis $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$ of $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,\bar{K}})^t = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)^r(\bar{K})$. For any $\alpha$ in $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^r$, we denote by $\varphi(\alpha)$ the character which sends $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r)$ to $\exp(2\pi i\alpha)$. We consider the image $[H(\mathbb{Q})]$ of $H(\mathbb{Q})$ in $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^r$, and we define $\mathcal{H}$ as the Zariski closure of $\{\varphi(\alpha) | \alpha \in [H(\mathbb{Q})]\}$ in $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,\bar{K}})$. It is clearly a finite union of cotori translated by a character of finite order.

**4.4.** — François Loeser proposes the following generalisation of the monodromy conjecture:

***4.4.1. Generalised monodromy conjecture*.** — *For almost all finite place $\mathfrak{P}$, if $H$ is a polar hyperplane in $\mathcal{P}(Z_\phi(F_{\mathfrak{P}}, \chi; s))$, with $\phi$ residual on $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$, then, for every irreducible component $Z$ of $\mathcal{H}$, there exists an integer $q$ and a geometric point $\xi$ such that $Z$ is contained in the support of $\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$.*

Loeser also generalizes the holomorphy conjecture as follows (using notations and conventions from 3.5 and 3.6):

*4.4.2.* ***Generalised holomorphy conjecture.*** — *For almost all finite place* $\mathfrak{P}$*, if* $Z_\phi(F_{\mathfrak{P}}, \chi_0; s)$ *with* $\phi$ *residual on* $X_{\mathfrak{P}}$ *is not a holomorphic function of* $s$*, then there exists an integer* $q$ *and a geometric point* $\xi$*, such that* $\chi_0$ *belongs to* $r(\overline{\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)})$.

*4.4.3.* ***Remark.*** — By Proposition 5.0.5, when $r = 1$, Conjectures 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 are indeed equivalent to Conjectures 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

## 5. Comparison with the transcendent theory

In this section, we compare our definition of the Alexander complex with the classical definition **[30]** in the complex case, following Deligne's treatment of the nearby cycles **[3]**. Let $X$ be a complex manifold, and let $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_r) : X \to \mathbb{C}^r$ be an analytic map. We denote the complex analytic torus $\mathbb{G}^r_{m,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C})$ by $T$, the inverse image of $T$ under $F$ by $X_T$, and the complement of $X_T$ in $X$ by $X_0$. Let $\pi : \tilde{T} \to T$ be a universal cover of $T$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_0 \arrow[r, "i"] & X \arrow[d, "F"] & X_T \arrow[l, "j"'] \arrow[d] & X_T \times_T \tilde{T} = X_{\tilde{T}} \arrow[l, "\tilde{\pi}"'] \arrow[d] \\
 & \mathbb{C}^r & T \arrow[l] & \tilde{T} \arrow[l, "\pi"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

In the univariate case ($r = 1$), after reducing $X$ to a sufficiently small neighbourhood of a point $x \in F^{-1}(0)$ (i.e. the intersection of a small ball centered at $x$ with the inverse image of a small ball around the origin in $\mathbb{C}$), the space $X_T \times_T \tilde{T}$ is called the canonical Milnor fiber of $F$ at $x$, since it is homotopy-equivalent to the fiber of the Milnor fibration at $x$ **[25][20]**. However, in the multivariate case, $F$ will, in general, not be a fibration in any neighbourhood of $x$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an object in $D^b_c(X_T, \mathbb{C})$. We define the Alexander complex to be

$$R\psi_F(\mathcal{F}) = i^* R(j \circ \tilde{\pi})_* \tilde{\pi}^* \mathcal{F}\,.$$

The deck transformations of $X \times_T \tilde{T}$ make $R\psi_F(\mathcal{F})$ into a constructible $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^r]$-module.

Now let $A$ be a regular Noetherian ring, $\mathcal{F}$ an $A$-constructible sheaf on $X_0$, and $\mathcal{G}$ an object in $D^b_c(X_0, A)$. The support $\mathrm{Supp}_x\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ at a point $x$ of $X_0$ is defined to be the collection of prime ideals $\mathcal{P}$ of $A$ such that $\mathcal{F}_x \otimes_A A_{\mathcal{P}} \neq 0$; the support of $\mathcal{G}$ at $x$ is the union of $\mathrm{Supp}_x h^i(\mathcal{G})$. If $d$ is the dimension of the support of $\mathcal{G}$ at $x$, we denote by $\mathrm{Supp}_{x,d}\,\mathcal{G}$ the collection of prime ideals in $\mathrm{Supp}_x\mathcal{G}$ of coheight $d$. The cycle associated to $\mathcal{G}$ at $x$ is the cycle

$$\zeta_{x,d}(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathrm{Supp}_{x,d}\mathcal{G}} \chi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}) V(\mathcal{P}),$$

where $V(\mathcal{P})$ is the subvariety of $\mathrm{Spec}\, A$ associated to $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the localization $\mathcal{G} \otimes_A A_{\mathcal{P}}$, and $\chi_x(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}})$ equals $\sum(-1)^i \mathrm{length}\, h^i(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}})_x$. In our setting, $A$ equals $\mathbb{C}[t_i, t_i^{-1}]_{i=1}^r$, and $d$ will be equal to $r-1$, so we can replace the cycle by a defining function. This zeta function $\zeta_{x,d}(\mathcal{G})$ is a rational function in $t_1, \ldots, t_r$, defined modulo multiplication with a monomial. We get a duality formula

$$\zeta_{x,d}(D_A\mathcal{G})(t_1, \ldots, t_r) = \zeta_{x,d}(\mathcal{G})(t_1, \ldots, t_r)^{-1},$$

where $D_A\mathcal{G}$ is the dual complex of $\mathcal{G}$.

In his article [**30**], Sabbah formulates a generalized A'Campo formula [**1**]: let $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_r) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^r, 0)$ be an analytic germ, and let $h : E\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a proper modification, where $E\mathbb{C}^n$ is smooth. Assume that there exists a divisor $E = \cup E_i$ on $E\mathbb{C}^n$ with normal crossings, such that $(f_i \circ h)^{-1}(0)$, as well as $h^{-1}(0)$ are unions of components of $E$. Then

$$\zeta_0(R\psi_F\mathbb{C})(t_1, \ldots, t_r) = \prod_{\{i \in I \,|\, E_i \in \pi^{-1}(0)\}} (t^{N_i} - 1)^{-\chi(E_i^o)},$$

where $t^N = t_1^{N^1} \ldots t_r^{N^r}$, the $N_i$ are multiplicity vectors of $F$ along the $E_i$, and $E_i^o$ is equal to the set of points on $E_i$ which do not belong to any other divisor $E_j$.

It is well-known [**30**] that $Rh_* \circ R\psi_{F\circ h} = R\psi_F \circ Rh_*$. The comparison of the analytic with the algebraic definition is based upon an explicit computation of the Alexander complex associated to $F \circ h$, which reduces to the computation of the Alexander complex associated to

$$G : Y = \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^r : x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto (x^{N^1}, \ldots, x^{N^r}),$$

with $N^j \in \mathbb{N}^n$. We may assume that for each index $j = 1 \ldots n$, there exists a $k$ such that $N_j^k \neq 0$. The map

$$p_1 : \mathbb{C}^n \to Y_T = (\mathbb{C}_0)^n : (w_1, \ldots, w_n) \mapsto (e^{2i\pi w_1}, \ldots, e^{2i\pi w_n})$$

is a universal covering space. The space $Y_{\tilde{T}}$ falls apart in $\kappa$ connected components, where $\kappa$ equals $\prod_i gcd\,\{N_i^j\}_{j=1}^r$. These components are transitively permutated by the monodromy actions. For each of these components $Z$, we get an induced covering projection $p_2 : \mathbb{C}^n \to Z$ with

$$M = \{(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \,|\, \sum z_i\, N_i^j = 0 \text{ for each } j\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n = \pi_1(Y_T)$$

as transformation group. This means that, for each constant sheaf $\Lambda$ on $Y$ and each $q$,

$$R^q\psi_G(\Lambda)_0 = H^q(Y_{\tilde{T}}, \Lambda) = \wedge^q(M \otimes \Lambda) \otimes \psi_G^0(\Lambda)_0,$$

which follows from the fact that, for each sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $Z$, the global sections of $\mathcal{F}$ are the global sections of $p_2^*\mathcal{F}$ which are invariant under $M$, and the resulting spectral sequence.

Let us try to reformulate this definitions in a more algebraic way. For each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, let $S_i$ be the spectrum of a strictly Henselian ring with residue field $k$, closed point $s_i$ and generic point $\eta_i$. Consider a geometric point $\bar{\eta}_i$, localized at $\eta_i$. The residue field of the closed point of the normalization $\bar{S}_i$ of $S_i$ in $k(\bar{\eta}_i)$ is an algebraic separably closed extension $k(\bar{s}_i)$ of $k$, and thus defines a geometric point $\bar{s}_i$ localized at $s_i$. Let $F : X \to S$ be a scheme of finite type over the fiber product $S$ of the $S_i$ over $\operatorname{Spec} k$. Fix a subset $J$ of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$. Let $\Lambda$ a Noetherian torsion ring in which the characteristic exponent of $k$ is invertible, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an object in $D^b_c(X_T, \Lambda)$.

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_{s_J} \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d, "F"] & X_{\bar\eta} \arrow[l, "\tilde\pi"'] \arrow[d] \\
s_J \arrow[r] & S & \eta \arrow[l] & \bar\eta \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\eta = (\eta_i)$, and $s_J = (\alpha_i)$ with $\alpha_i = s_i$ if $i \in J$ and $\alpha_i = \eta_i$ else. We define the $J$-th Alexander complex to be

$$R\psi_{F,J}(\mathcal{F}) = i^* R\tilde\pi_* \mathcal{F}_{\bar\eta}\,.$$

It is endowed with an action of $\prod \operatorname{Gal}(\bar\eta_i/\eta_i)$; the $i$-part acts trivially if $i \notin J$. If we replace, for each $i$, $\bar\eta_i$ by the point $\eta_{i,t}$ associated to the maximal tamely ramified extension of $k(\eta_i)$ in $k(\bar\eta_i)$, we get the tame Alexander complex $R\psi^{(t)}_{F,J}$.

It follows from proper base change that $Rh_* \circ R\psi^{(t)}_{F\circ h,J} = R\psi^{(t)}_{F,J} \circ Rh_*$ if $h : Y \to X$ is a proper morphism. In our comparison theorem, we will apply this property to the case where $h$ is a simultaneous embedded resolution for the $f_i$; so let us investigate what happens if, for each $i \in J$, $X_{\eta_i}$ is smooth over $\eta_i$, and $D = \cup_{i\in J} X_{s_i}$ is a normal crossing divisor. The calculation follows the same lines as in the analytic case; see **[14]** for the univariate case $r = 1$.

Let $\bar x$ be a geometric point of $X$, localized at a point $x$ mapped to $s_J$ by $F$. Consider the strict localization $X_{(\bar x)}$ of $X$ at $\bar x$, let $U$ be equal to the complement of the inverse image of $D$ in $X_{(\bar x)}$, and let $t_j$ be a local equation for $D_j$. Now put $X_n = X_{(\bar x)}[t_j^{\frac{1}{n}}]$, and denote by $U_n$ the inverse image of $U$ in $X_n$. By purity and the long exact sequence for relative cohomology, $H^q(U_n, \Lambda) = \wedge^q \Lambda(-1)^C$, where $C$ is the number of components $D_j$ containing $x$. For $m = nd$, the morphism from $H^q(U_n, \Lambda)$ to $H^q(U_m, \Lambda)$, associated to the obvious morphism $U_m \to U_n$, is induced by multiplication by $d^q$. If we define $\tilde U$ to be the projective limit of the $U_n$, taken over the indices $n$ prime to the characteristic exponent of $k$, we get

$$\begin{cases} H^0(\tilde U, \Lambda) = \Lambda \\ H^q(\tilde U, \Lambda) = \lim_{\to} H^q(U_n, \Lambda) = 0 \quad \text{if } q \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

The scheme $\tilde U$ is a procover of $U$ with group $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k)^C$. Furthermore, it is a procover with group $M \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k)$ of each of the connected components of $U_{\eta_t}$, which are permutated transitively by the tame inertia group $I_t = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(k)^r$. Since, by definition, $R\psi^{(t),q}_{F,J}(\Lambda)_{\bar x} = H^q(U_{\eta_t}, \Lambda)$, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence yields

$$R^q\psi^{(t)}_{F,J}(\Lambda)_{\bar x} \cong \wedge^q(M \otimes \Lambda(-1)) \otimes_\Lambda R^0\psi^{(t)}_{F,J}(\Lambda)_{\bar x}\,,$$

and $R^0\psi^{(t)}_{F,J}(\Lambda)_{\bar{x}}$ is a $\Lambda$-algebra $\Lambda^E$, with $E$ a set on which the tame inertia group acts transitively, and $|E|$ equal to the greatest divisor of $\kappa$ prime to $p$. Of course, $M$ and $\kappa$ are defined in the same way as in the analytic case.

Now we compare both definitions. For each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, let $f_i : X \to \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a separated reduced $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$-scheme of finite type. Consider the strict localization $S$ of $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ at 0, with closed point $s$, and generic point $\eta$. By abuse of notation, we will also write $f_i : X \to S$ for the restriction of $f_i$ to $X \times_{\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}} S$. Put $F = (f_i)$, and let $R\psi^{an}_{F,I}(\mathcal{F})$ be the restriction of the analytically defined complex of Alexander modules to $X_I = \{x \in X_0 \,|\, f_i(x) = 0 \text{ iff } i \in J\}$.

We can make use of an étale dictionary, allowing us to travel from the algebraic to the analytic world, associating to a scheme $X$ of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$ the analytic space $X(\mathbb{C})$ of its complex points, and to an étale sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X_T$ a sheaf $\mathcal{F}^{an}$ on $X_T(\mathbb{C})$, with pleasant compatibility properties - see, e.g. [**12**][**24**]. Our Henselian ring $S$ corresponds to the germ of a disc around the origin. The universal cover of the one-dimensional torus, however, is not algebraic in nature - this is exactly why we have to use limits in the definition of the algebraic fundamental group [**26**]. We now explain how it is linked to a geometric generic point $\bar{\eta}$ of $S$, see also [**3**].

Let $T_1$ be the torus $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\tilde{T}_1$ a universal cover. We can add a point 0 to $\tilde{T}_1$ to obtain a map $p : \tilde{\mathbb{A}} \to \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $p(0) = 0$, $\tilde{T}_1$ is open in $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$, and the sets $p^{-1}(U)$, where $U$ runs over the neighbourhoods of 0 in $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, form a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of 0 in $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$. The cover $\tilde{T}_1$ determines an algebraic closure of the residue field $k(\eta)$ as follows: $S$ is isomorphic to the ring of germs of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ at 0, that are algebraic over the field of rational functions $K(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}})$. The field of germs at $0 \in \tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ of meromorphic functions on $\tilde{T}_1$ that are algebraic over $K(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}})$, is an algebraic closure $k(\bar{\eta})$ of $K(\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}})$, hence of $k(\eta)$. The group of deck transformations $\pi_1(T_1)$ acts on $k(\bar{\eta})$, which yields a monomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(T_1) \to \mathrm{Gal}(k(\bar{\eta})/k(\eta)) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(\mathbb{C}) \ (*).$$

Let $\bar{\eta}$ be the geometric point corresponding to the inclusion $k(\eta) \subset k(\bar{\eta})$.

Our first comparison statement is the following:

***5.0.4. Proposition***. — *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an object in $D^b_c(X_T, \Lambda)$, and let $\mathcal{G}^{an}$ be the corresponding object in $D^b_c(X_T(\mathbb{C}), \Lambda)$. Consider the constructible complex $R\psi^{(t)}_{F,J}(\mathcal{G})^{an}$ on the stratum $X_J$ (or its intersection with the inverse image of a small disc in $\mathbb{C}^r$). It inherits a $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)(\mathbb{C})^r$ action, which induces, via the morphism (*), a monodromy action of $\mathbb{Z}^r$. This latter object is quasi-isomorphic to $R\psi^{an}_{F,J}(\mathcal{G}^{an})$.*

The proof is completely analogous to the proof in the univariate case [**3**], and consists of a reduction to the normal crossing-case for which we established formulas above.

Our next task is to compare the algebraic definition of $R\psi^{(t)}_{F,I}$ with our definition of the Alexander complex. For each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, let $f_i : X \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$ be a morphism of $k$-varieties. Consider the strict localization $S$ of $\mathbb{A}^1_k$ at 0, with closed point $s$, and generic point $\eta$. By abuse of notation, we will also write $f_i : X \to S$ for the restriction of $f_i$ to $X \times_{\mathbb{A}^1_k} S$. Put $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$. Let $\xi$ be a closed point of $X$ that is mapped to $s_J$; we might as well assume that $J = \{1, \ldots, r\}$, and we drop the subscript $J$. Let $\mu$ be the generic point of a strict localization of $X$ at $\xi$, and let $\bar{\mu}$ be a geometric point localized at $\mu$. We fix an isomorphism of fiber functors $V_{F(\bar{\mu})} \cong V_{(1,\ldots,1)}$ on the category of tame étale covers of $\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}$. Consider an object $\mathcal{F}$ in $D^b_c(X_{\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. We denote by $R\psi^{(t),op}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F})$ the tame Alexander complex at $\mu$, with opposite $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mu}/\mu)$-action.

Now we give $R\psi^{(t),op}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F})$ the structure of a sheaf of modules on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})$, or rather: we split up $R\psi^{(t),op}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F})$ in different direct summands, in analogy with the Jordan decomposition of a linear transformation, and each of these summands will get the structure of a sheaf of modules on $\{\chi\} \times \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})_l$, for some character $\chi$. For each $\chi$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times_l)_f$ (see **[13]** for a definition), we denote by $R\psi^{(t),op}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F})^{(\chi)}$ the biggest subobject of $R\psi^{(t),op}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F})$ such that the monodromy action, translated by $\chi^{-1}$, factors through $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})_l$. Now it is clear how to give $R\psi^{(t),op}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F})^{(\chi)}$ the structure of a sheaf of modules on $\{\chi\} \times \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})$.

For each closed point $\varphi$ of $\{\chi\} \times \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})_l$, the stalk $R\psi^{(t),op}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F})^{(\chi)}_{\varphi}$ is the largest subobject of $R\psi^{(t),op}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F})$ on which the action of each member $\gamma_j$ of a topological basis $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ for $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})^t$ is of the form $\varphi(\gamma_j) + N_{\gamma_j}$, with $N_{\gamma_j}$ nilpotent.

***5.0.5. Proposition.*** — *For each character $\chi$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times_l)_f$, we get a canonical isomorphism of sheaves of modules*

$$\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}|_{\{\chi\}\times\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})_l}(\mathcal{F}) \cong R^{q-r}\psi^{(t),op}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F})^{(\chi)}\,.$$

*Proof.* — It suffices to prove the theorem when $\chi$ is trivial. We replace $\mathcal{F}$ by a model in $D^b_c(X_{\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}}, R)$, where $R$ is the ring of integers of a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_l$. We denote this model again by $\mathcal{F}$. Then the equality we want to prove becomes

$$\mathcal{A}^{q,R}_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F}) \cong R^{q-r}\psi^{pro-l,op}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} (\wedge^r_{\mathbb{Z}_l}\pi_1(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})_l)^{\vee}\,,$$

where $^{\vee}$ denotes the dual $\mathbb{Z}_l$-module, and the isomorphism is a canonical isomorphism of $R[[\pi_1(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})_l]]$-modules.

We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}^{q,R}_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F}) = R^q j_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes^L_R F^* L^R_{\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}})_{\xi} \cong H^q(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mu}/\mu), R\psi^{pro-l}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F} \otimes^L_R F^* L^R_{\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}}))\,,$$

which may be rewritten as an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}^{q,R}_{F,\xi}(\mathcal{F}) \cong H^q(\pi_1(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})_l, R\psi^{pro-l}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes^L_R L^R_{\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}})\,,$$

where we view $L^R_{\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}}$ as an $R$-module with continuous $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})_l$-action. Now let us take a look at the hypercohomological spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{i,j} &= H^i(\pi_1(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})_l, R^j\psi^{pro-l}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes^L_R L^R_{\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}}) \\ &\Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\pi_1(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})_l, R\psi^{pro-l}_{\mu,F}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes^L_R L^R_{\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k}})\,. \end{aligned}$$

By **[13]**, proposition 4.2.2.1, the spectral sequence degenerates at $E_2$, and yields the desired isomorphism. $\square$

What does this all mean for the support of the Alexander complex $\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ if $k = \mathbb{C}$? Consider the universal cover

$$p : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^* : z \mapsto e^{2\pi i z}\,,$$

and let $\bar{\eta}$ be the corresponding geometric generic point of the Henselization of $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ at 0. Fix an embedding of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ in $\mathbb{C}$. The choice of $\bar{\eta}$ yields a distinguished topological basis $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ for $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,\mathbb{C}})^t$, and the embedding of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ in $\mathbb{C}$ allows us to identify a closed point $\chi$ of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}^r_{m,k})$ with a point $(e^{2\pi i \alpha_j})_j$ in $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]$, with $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Q}$. This correspondence identifies the support of $\mathcal{A}^q_{F,\xi}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ with the support of the stalk of the analytical complex $\psi^{an}_F(\mathbb{C})$ at $\xi$.

To conclude, let us consider the behaviour of the Alexander complex with respect to resolution of singularities. Let $h : Y \to X$ be a simultaneous resolution for the $f_i$, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an object in $D^b_c(Y_T, R)$. It follows from the proper base change theorem that

$$Rh_* Rj_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes h^* F^* L^R_T) \cong Rj_*(Rh_* \mathcal{F} \otimes F^* L^R_T)\,.$$

Suppose that the resolution is tame, i.e. all multiplicities $N^i_j$ are prime to the characteristic exponent of $k$. In this case, the complex $Rj_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \otimes h^* F^* L^R_T)$ is lisse on the strata $E^o_I$, by **[13]**, lemma 4.3.2, and on each stratum $E^o_I$, we have an isomorphism

$$R^q j_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \otimes h^* F^* L^R_T) \cong R^0 j_*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \otimes h^* F^* L^R_T) \otimes \wedge^q(M_I \otimes \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l(-1))$$

where $M_I$ is defined in the obvious way.

## 6. A formula for the local zeta function

We recall, and introduce, some notation. Let $K$ be a finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, with ring of integers $R$, and residue field $k \cong F_q$. We denote by $P$ the maximal ideal of $R$. Let $\Phi$ be a residual, locally constant function on $R^n$, and $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$, with $f_i$ a polynomial in $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ over $R$. Let $\chi = (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r)$, where $\chi_j$ is a multiplicative character on $R^\times$ of order $d_j$, trivial on $1 + P$. Put $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_r)$. Denote by $N_i$ the vector $(N^1_i, \ldots, N^r_i)$, and by $s$ the $r$-tuple of complex variables $(s_1, \ldots, s_r)$. Let $\bar{\cdot}$ denote reduction modulo $P$. Let $(Y, h) = h : Y \to \mathbb{A}^n_K$ be a simultaneous embedded resolution for $F$, and always assume tame good reduction **[4][5][6]**. Let $T$ be the index set of the exceptional components, where $T_s$ indexes the components of strict transform, and $T_e$ the exceptional divisors. The symbol $E_I$, with $I \subset T$, means $\cap_{i \in I} E_i$, and $E^o_I$ stands for $E_I \setminus \cup_{j \in T \setminus I} E_j$. Analogously, we write $\bar{E}_I$ instead of $\cap_{i \in I} \bar{E}_i$, and $\bar{E}^o_I$ instead of $\bar{E}_I \setminus \cup_{j \in T \setminus I} \bar{E}_j$.

We say that a multiplicity vector $N_i$ satisfies condition $\gamma(N_i)$ if the character $\prod_{j=1}^r \chi_j^{N^j_i}$ is trivial. The condition $\gamma(N_i)$ replaces the condition $d | N_i$ in the univariate case.

***6.0.6. Theorem.*** — *Let $f_i \in R[x]$, $\bar{F} \neq 0$, and let $(Y, h)$ have good reduction modulo $P$. Then*

$$Z_\Phi(F, \chi; s) = q^{-n} \sum_{I \subset T, \gamma(N_i)\, if\, i \in I} c_{I,\chi,\Phi} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{q-1}{q^{N_i s + \nu_i} - 1}$$

*where $c_{I,\chi,\Phi} = \sum_{a \in \bar{E}_I^o(k)} \bar{\Phi}(a)\Omega_\chi(a)$ and $\Omega_\chi(a) = \prod_{j=1}^r \chi_j(u_j)$, with $u_j$ as in the univariate case* [**6**] *($\chi_j(u_j)$ is ill-defined, but their product isn't).*

*Proof.* — See the proof of Denef in [**6**]. His proof can be read as if $s$, $\psi$ and the $N_i$ were vectors, replacing the condition $d|N_i$ by $\gamma(N_i)$ to obtain the generalized formula. □

We write $c_{I,\chi}$ for $c_{I,\chi,\Phi}$ when $\Phi$ is the characteristic function of $R^n$, and $c_{I,\chi,0}$ when $\Phi$ is the characteristic function of $P^n$.
These coefficients have a cohomological interpretation. Choose a prime number $l$, not dividing $q$, such that all characters $\chi_j$ take values in $\mathbb{Q}_l$, identifying $\mathbb{Q}_l$ with a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. Let $C$ be the torus $(\mathbb{A}_{F_q} \setminus 0)^r$, define $\bar{Y}_C$ as $(\bar{F} \circ \bar{h})^{-1}(C)$ and let $\alpha : \bar{Y}_C \to C$ be the induced map.
The étale cover

$$\mathrm{Spec} F_q[w, w^{-1}, z]/(z_1^{d_1} - w_1, \dots, z_r^{d_r} - w_r)$$

of $C$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_r)$, $w = (w_1, \dots, w_r)$, has Galois group $\mu_d = \prod_{i=1}^r \mu_{d_i}$. Through the isomorphism $\mu_d \cong \prod_i F_q^\times/(F_q^\times)^{d_i}$, $\psi = \prod_i \chi_i$ induces a character $\psi'$ on $\mu_d$, which at its turn induces a continuous representation of $\pi_1(C)$ in $\mathbb{Q}_l^\times$. We denote the locally constant $\mathbb{Q}_l$-sheaf associated to its inverse by $\mathcal{L}'_\psi$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$ be $\alpha^*\mathcal{L}'_\psi$, and $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ be $\nu_*\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$, where $\nu : \bar{Y}_C \to \bar{Y}$ is the open immersion. The sheaf is constructed in such a way that the action of the geometric Frobenius corresponds to the character we want to study, so we get a convenient Grothendieck trace formula [**11**]:

***6.0.7. Theorem.*** — *The sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ is locally constant of rank 1 on $U_d = \bar{Y} \setminus \cup^{i \in T}_{\neg\gamma(N_i)} \bar{E}_i$. If $I \subset T$ and $\gamma(N_i)$ for all $i \in I$, then*

$$c_{I,\chi} = \sum_i (-1)^i Tr(Fr, H_c^i(\bar{E}_I^o \otimes_{F_q} F_q^{alg}, \mathcal{F}_\psi)),$$

*and*

$$c_{I,\chi,0} = \sum_i (-1)^i Tr(Fr, H_c^i(\bar{E}_I^o \cap \bar{h}^{-1}(0) \otimes_{F_q} F_q^{alg}, \mathcal{F}_\psi))$$

*where $Fr$ is the Frobenius endomorphism, and $F_q^{alg}$ denotes the algebraic closure of $F_q$.*

*Proof.* — Cover $U_d$ with affine opens $V \subset U_d$, such that on each $V$, we can write $\bar{f}_j \circ \bar{h}$ as $u_j \prod y_i^{M_i^j}$, with $u_j$ nonvanishing on $V$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, and $(y_j)$ a system of local parameters on $V$. Let $u$ be the mapping from $V$ to the torus $C$ induced by the $u_j$. The multiplicity vectors $M_i$ satisfy condition $\gamma$ for each $i$, so it follows from the bimultiplicativity of the construction of $\mathcal{F}$ that the sheaf $\mathcal{G} = u^*\mathcal{L}_\psi$ on $V$ coincides

with $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$ on $V\cap \bar{Y}_C$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is, by purity, isomorphic to the direct image of its restriction to $V\cap\bar{Y}_C$, we see that $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{F}_{\psi}|_V$.
Now let $I$ be a subset of $T$ so that $\gamma(N_i)$ for each $i\in I$; thus $\bar{E}^o_I\subset U_d$. Let $a$ be a point in $V$. The action of the geometric Frobenius $\varphi$ of $a$ on the stalk of $\mathcal{F}_{\psi}$ at $a$, is induced by the monodromy action on the stalk by the element of $\mu_d$ corresponding to $\varphi$, and is given by $\Omega_{\chi}(a)$. Grothendieck's trace formula yields the expressions for $c_{I,\chi}$ and $c_{I,\chi,0}$. □

We now study the higher direct images of $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$ under $\nu$.

***6.0.8. Lemma***. — *(i) $R^j\nu_*\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$ is zero outside $U_d$ for all $j\geq 0$.*
*(ii) For $I\subset T$, let $\nu_I$ be the open immersion $\nu_I:\bar{E}^o_I\to\bar{E}_I$. Then $R^j\nu_{I*}(\mathcal{F}_{\psi}|_{\bar{E}^o_I})$ is zero outside $\bar{E}_I\cap U_d$ for all $j\geq 0$.*
*(iii) Suppose $i\in T$ and $\gamma(N_i)$. Then $(R^j\nu_*\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha})|_{\bar{E}^o_i}$ is zero for all $j\geq 2$, and canonically isomorhpic to $\mathcal{F}_{\psi}(-1)|_{\bar{E}^o_i}$ for $j=1$.*

*Proof.* — (i) Let $a$ be a closed point of $\bar{Y}\setminus U_d$. This point belongs to $\bar{E}^o_I$ for some $I\subset T$, and $I$ contains an index $i_0$ such that $N_{i_0}$ does not satisfy $\gamma$. We will prove that the stalk of $R^j\nu_*\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$ at $a$ is zero. This assertion is local for the étale topology, which allows us to replace $\bar{Y}$ by $A^n_{F_q}$, $\bar{Y}_C$ by $(\prod_{i\in I}(A^1_{F_q}\setminus 0))\times A^{n-card\,I}_{F_q}$, $\alpha$ by $(\prod_{i\in I}y_i^{N_i^j})_j$, and $a$ by the origin. Then we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}=\alpha^*\mathcal{L}_{\psi}=\boxtimes_{i\in I}(\otimes_{j=1}^r\mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}^{\otimes N_i})\boxtimes\mathcal{C}$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ denotes the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Q}_l$ on $A^{n-card\,I}_{F_q}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}$ denotes the Kummer sheaf associated to $\chi_j$. Let $\mu$ be the open immersion of $A^1_{F_q}\setminus 0$ in $A^1_{F_q}$. Since $N_{i_0}$ does not satisfy $\gamma$, the stalk of $\mu_*\otimes_{j=1}^r\mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}^{\otimes N_{i_0}}$ at the origin is zero (because we get a nontrivial monodromy action). Local duality yields $(R^1\mu_*\otimes_{j=1}^r\mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}^{\otimes N_{i_0}})_0=0$. Hence $(R^j\mu_*\otimes_{j=1}^r\mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}^{\otimes N_{i_0}})_0=0$ for all $j\geq 0$. Now we can apply the Künneth formula to the expression for $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,\alpha}$, to obtain the desired result.
(ii) Because of (i), we may suppose that $\bar{E}^o_I\subset U_d$. When $N_i$ satisfies $\gamma$, we get a trivial monodromy action on $\otimes_{j=1}^r\mathcal{L}_{\chi_j}^{\otimes N_i}$, so this sheaf is constant. Now one can use a local description similar to the one in (i).
(iii) Apply purity results to the smooth pair consisting of $\bar{E}^o_i$ and $\bar{Y}\setminus\cup_{k\neq i}\bar{E}_k$. □

The same arguments as in the univariate case [**6**] can be used to prove the following result from the preceding lemma.

***6.0.9. Lemma***. — *Let $E_{i_0}$ be proper, $\gamma(N_{i_0})$, and suppose that $E_{i_0}$ intersects no $E_j$ with $\gamma(N_j)$, $j\neq i_0$. Then*

$$H^i_c(\bar{E}^o_{i_0}\otimes_{F_q}F_q^{alg},\mathcal{F}_{\psi})=0 \text{ for } i\neq n-1\,.$$

So if in addition $\chi(E^o_{i_0})=0$ (i.e. the topological Euler characteristic of $E^o_{i_0}(\mathbb{C})$ vanishes), we have no contribution in the formula for $Z_{\Phi}(F,\chi;s)$, since $\chi(E^o_{i_0})$ and $\chi_c(\bar{E}^o_i\otimes_{F_q}F_q^{alg},\mathbb{Q}_l)$ differ by a nonzero factor, and this latter Euler characteristic with compact support at its turn equals $\chi_c(\bar{E}_i^o \otimes_{F_q} F_q^{alg}, \mathcal{F}_\psi)$, because $\mathcal{F}_\psi|_{\bar{E}_i^o}$ has tame ramification. This allows us to prove:

***6.0.10. Theorem.*** — *Suppose $n = 2$. If $H : \sum_k c_k s_k + 1 = 0$ is a polar hyperplane of $Z$, then there exists an index $j$ in $T$, with $|E_j/E_j^o| \geq 3$ or $j \in T_s$, and $c_k = N_j^k/\nu_j$ for each $k$.*

*Proof.* — This proof generalizes the one in [**7**]. Some notation: fix $j$ in $T_e$, and let $a_t$, $t \in J$, be the geometric points of $E_j \setminus E_j^o$. For $t \in J$, let $N_t^k, \nu_t$ denote the numerical data associated to the unique divisor intersecting $E_j$ transversally in $a_t$, and put $\alpha_t^{(k)} = \nu_t - N_t^k \nu_j / N_j^k$ if $N_j^k$ differs from zero. It is well-known [**21**][**33**] that

$$\sum_{t \in J} (\alpha_t^{(k)} - 1) = -2, \text{ and } \sum_{t \in J} N_t^k \equiv 0 \; mod \, N_j^k \qquad (*)$$

for each $k$ for which the identities are defined.
Let $S$ be the set of all divisors $E_j$ inducing the polar hyperplane $H$. Suppose that each of its members satisfies $|E_j \setminus E_j^o| \leq 2$ and $j \notin T_s$. It follows from the identities above that different elements of $S$ cannot meet, since otherwise we could build an infinite tree of divisors in $S$, which is absurd. Suppose that $E_j$ belongs to $S$ and satisfies $\gamma(N_j)$. Suppose that $|E_j \setminus E_j^o| = \{a_1, a_2\}$; the other case is similar. Either both $N_1$ and $N_2$ satisfy $\gamma$, or none of them does; in the latter case $E_j$ does not contribute to $Z$ because of the previous lemma, in the former case the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ will be geometrically constant on $\bar{E}_j$, and it follows from the trace formula and the formula for $Z$, combined with the information about the $\alpha_t^{(k)}$, that $E_j$ does not contribute to the polar locus of $Z$. □

## 7. Degree of local zeta functions and monodromy

In this section, we establish a formula for the limit of the zeta function for $s \to -\infty$, and we prove the following theorem, which is a generalization of a theorem in [**8**]:

***7.0.11. Theorem.*** — *Let $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ be defined over a number field $K \subset \mathbb{C}$. For almost all completions of $K$, the degree of the local zeta function $Z_0(f, \chi; s)$ will be strictly less then zero if $\chi \notin \mathrm{Supp}\mathcal{A}_{F,0}^q(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ for all $q$.*

Here the statement that the degree of $Z_0(f, \chi; s)$ is strictly negative, means that the well-defined limit $\lim_{s \to -\infty} Z_0(f, \chi; s)$ vanishes.

*Proof.* — Let $\bar{\eta}$ be a geometric generic point of $\mathbb{A}_{F_q}^r$, defining an algebraic closure $F_q^{alg}$ of $F_q$. Let $S_0$ be the Henselization at 0 of $\mathbb{A}_{F_q}^r$, and denote by $\eta_0$ its generic point. Put $G_0 = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta_0)$.
Let $\sigma$ be an element of $G_0$ which induces the geometric Frobenius $\varphi$ on $F_q^{alg}$. Then, using the notation from the previous section,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_a (-1)^a Tr(\sigma, \mathcal{A}^a_{\bar{F},0}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_\chi)\\
=\;&\sum_{a,b}(-1)^{a+b}Tr(\sigma, H^a(\bar{h}^{-1}(0)\otimes F_q^{alg}, R^b\nu_*((\bar{F}\circ\bar{h})^*L_T^{\mathbb{Z}_l}\otimes(\bar{F}\circ\bar{h})^*\mathcal{L}_\chi))
\end{aligned}$$

(By proper base change and Leray's direct image spectral sequence, we can compute the trace on the exceptional locus of the embedded resolution $\bar{h}$.)

$$\begin{aligned}
=\;&\sum_I\sum_{a,b}(-1)^{a+b}Tr(\sigma, H^a_c((\bar{E}_I^o\cap\bar{h}^{-1}(0))\otimes F_q^{alg},\\
&\qquad R^0\nu_*((\bar{F}\circ\bar{h})^*L_T^{\mathbb{Z}_l}\otimes(\bar{F}\circ\bar{h})^*\mathcal{L}_\chi)\otimes\wedge^b(M_I\otimes\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l(-1)))
\end{aligned}$$

(By the explicit computations in the normal crossing case in Section 5)

$$\begin{aligned}
=\;&\sum_I\sum_{a,b}(-1)^{a+b}Tr(\varphi, H^a_c((\bar{E}_I^o\cap\bar{h}^{-1}(0))\otimes F_q^{alg},\mathcal{F}_\chi))Tr(\varphi,\wedge^b(M_I\otimes\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l(-1)))\\
=\;&q^{-n}(1-q)^\lambda\lim_{s\to-\infty}Z_0(F,\chi,s)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda$ is the number of linearly independent multiplicity vectors $(N_i^j)_{i\in I}$, $j=1,\ldots,r$. $\square$

In the motivic setting, the limit of the multivariate zeta function yields a motivic incarnation of the Alexander complex **[15]**. In the case $r=1$, the motivic Milnor fiber has the same mixed Hodge structure as the geometric Milnor fiber, and the Hodge spectrum of $F=f_1$ can be recovered from this motivic object **[9]**[**10**].

## 8. Proof of the monodromy and holomorphy conjectures for curves

Now we are ready to prove Conjectures 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 when $n=2$ (see **[27]** and **[32]** for the univariate case $r=1$).

*Proof of the Generalized Monodromy Conjecture 4.4.1 for $n=2$*
Polar hyperplanes induced by components of the strict transform satisfy the conjecture, as can be seen by looking at the Alexander polynomial of the stalk of the Alexander complex in a smooth point of the zero locus. Let $E_j$ be an exceptional divisor intersecting at least three other divisors and lying above a point $x$ of $X$. Let $N'$ be an integer $r$-tuple, and denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the set of exceptional components lying over $x$, with a multiplicity vector which is an integer multiple of $N'$. We say that $E_i$ satisfies $\delta$ if it belongs to $\mathcal{I}$. Because of Sabbah's A'Campo formula, and the previous arguments, it suffices to prove that the expression $w_{\mathcal{I}}:=\sum_{E_i\in\mathcal{I}}\chi(E_i^o)$ is strictly less than zero, for each $N'$ such that $E_j$ satisfies $\delta$. We may suppose that none of the components of the strict transform satisfies $\delta$, since in the other case, it again suffices to look at the Alexander complex in a smooth point. To prove the monodromy conjecture, we use the inequality $w_{\mathcal{I}}<0$ in the case where $N'$ equals $N_j$, divided by the greatest common divisor of $\nu_j$ and the entries of $N_j$.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the union over all $E_i$ satisfying $\delta$. It follows from the relations (*) in the proof of Theorem 6.0.10, that each connected component of $\mathcal{N}$ contains a prime divisor, intersecting at least two divisors $E_k$ not satisfying $\delta$ (we suppose $f_j(x) = 0$ for some $j$). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of divisors in the connected component of $\mathcal{N}$ containing $E_j$; it suffices to prove that $w_{\mathcal{M}} < 0$. It is known that $w_{\mathcal{M}} = 0$ implies that $\mathcal{M}$ is a tree intersecting the union of the other exceptional components exactly twice [**27**]. These two intersection points have to lie on the same divisor $E_1$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $E_1 = E_j$. There is still another divisor $E_2$ in $\mathcal{M}$ intersecting $E_j$. We claim that we can find an index $k$ in $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $\alpha_t^{(k)} < 1$ for all $E_t$ intersecting $E_1$. Since $\alpha_2^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}$, (*) yields $\alpha_2^{(k)} = 0$. But $E_2$ has to intersect another divisor in $\mathcal{M}$, and repeatedly applying (*) produces an infinite tree. If $E_1 \neq E_j$, there are at least three divisors in $\mathcal{M}$ intersecting $E_j$; since only one component intersects a divisor not belonging to $\mathcal{M}$, the same arguments can be used.
We still have to prove our claim. We claim that we can always find an appropriate index $k$, unless we have done some redundant blow-ups during the resolution process. It is known that this property holds in the univariate case $r = 1$. But all the divisors meeting $h^{-1}(x)$ appear as well in a minimal resolution for $\prod_{f_j(x)=0} f_j$, so the claim follows from $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i / \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \leq \max_i\{x_i/y_i\}$ for $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. □

*Proof of the Generalized Holomorphy Conjecture 4.4.2 for* $n = 2$
If $Z$ is not holomorphic, there must exist an index $j$ in $T$, such that the corresponding divisor $E_j$ induces a pole in the formula for the zeta function. This means that we can choose $j$ such that $\gamma(N_j)$ is satisfied, and $j \in T_s$ or $|E_j \setminus E_j^o| \geq 3$. If $j \in T_s$, it is clear from Sabbah's A'Campo formula that the holomorphy conjecture is satisfied; so let us assume that $|E_j \setminus E_j^o| \geq 3$, and that $E_j$ is an exceptional divisor above $x$. We've proven above that that the expression $w_I := \sum_{E_i \in I} \chi(E_i^o)$ is strictly less than zero when we take the sum over all exceptional divisors lying over $x$ for which the multiplicity vector is a multiple of some integer vector $N'$, whenever $E_j$ belongs to $I$.
Taking $N'$ equal to $N_j$, defining $g$ to be the greatest common divisor of the entries of $N_j$, and putting $N'_j = N_j/g$, it follows from Sabbah's A'Campo formula that the locus of $T^{N'_j} = \xi_g$ is contained in the support of the Alexander complex at $x$, where $\xi_g$ is a primitive $g$-th root. Hence, it suffices to find a character $\psi$ so that $\psi^{N'_j}$ is a primitive $g$-th root, and $\chi = \psi^k \chi'$, with $\chi'^{N'_j} = 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\gamma(N_j)$ is satisfied, we can take $\chi'$ to be $\chi^g$, and furthermore, if $\chi$ is non-trivial, we can find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\chi^{N_j(1-g)/k} = 1$, but $\chi^{g' N'_j(1-g)/k} \neq 1$ unless $g$ divides $g'$. Now put $\psi = \chi^{(1-g)/k}$. □

## Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank François Loeser for his clarifications and comments, and for the inspiring discussions.

## References

[1] N. A'Campo, *La fonction zeta d'une monodromie*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **50** (1975), 233–248.

[2] A. J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. **83** (1996), 51–93.

[3] P. Deligne and N. Katz, *SGA 7 ii: Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 340, Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[4] J. Denef, *The diophantine problem for polynomial rings and fields of rational functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **242** (1978), no. 391-399.

[5] ______, *On the degree of igusa's local zeta function*, Am. J. of Math. **109** (1987), 991–1008.

[6] ______, *Local zeta functions and Euler characteristics*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 713–721.

[7] ______, *Report on Igusa's local zeta function*, Séminaire Bourbaki **Vol. 1990/91, Exp. No.730-744** (1991), 359–386, Astérisque 201-203.

[8] ______, *Degree of local zeta functions and monodromy*, Compositio Math. **89** (1993), 207–216.

[9] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Motivic Igusa zeta functions*, J. Algebraic Geom. **7** (1998), 505–537.

[10] ______, *Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties*, Progr. Math. **201** (2001), 327–348.

[11] E. Dold and B. Eckmann, *SGA 4 1/2: Cohomologie etale*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 569, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[12] E. Freitag and R. Kiehl, *Etale cohomology and the Weil conjecture*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[13] O. Gabber and F. Loeser, *Faisceaux pervers l-adique sur un tore*, Duke Math. J. **83** (1996), 501–606.

[14] A. Grothendieck, M. Raynaud, and D. S. Rim, *SGA 7 i: Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 288, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

[15] G. Guibert, *Espaces d'arcs et invariants d'Alexander*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002), no. 4, 783–820.

[16] J. Igusa, *Complex powers and asymptotic expansions I*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **268** (1974), 110–130.

[17] ______, *Complex powers and asymptotic expansions II*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **278** (1975), 307–321.

[18] ______, *Lectures on forms of higher degree (notes by S. Raghavan)*, Lectures on mathematics and physics, Tata institute of fundamental research, vol. 59, Springer-Verlag, 1978.

[19] ______, *An introduction to the theory of local zeta functions*, Studies in advanced mahtematics, AMS, 2000.

[20] V. Kulikov, *Mixed Hodge structures and singularities*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 132, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[21] F. Loeser, *Fonctions d'Igusa p-adiques et polynômes de Bernstein*, Am. J. of Math. **110** (1988), 1–22.

[22] ______, *Fonctions zêta locales d'Igusa à plusieurs variables, intégration dans les fibres, et discriminants*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **22** (1989), 435–471.

[23] ______, *Faisceaux pervers, transformation de Mellin et déterminants*, Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France **66** (1996), 1–105.

[24] J. S. Milne, *Etale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 33, Princeton University Press, 1980.

[25] J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Annals of Math. Studies, vol. 61, Princeton University Press, 1968.

[26] J. P. Murre, *Lectures on an introduction to grothendieck's theory of the fundamental group*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, 1967.

[27] B. Rodrigues, *On the monodromy conjecture for curves on normal surfaces*, to appear in Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.

[28] C. Sabbah, *Proximité évanescente. I. La structure polaire d'un $\mathcal{D}$-module*, Compositio Math. **62** (1987), 283–328.

[29] ______, *Proximité évanescente. II. Equations fonctionnelles pour plusieurs fonctions analytiques*, Compositio Math. **64** (1987), 213–241.

[30] ______, *Modules d'Alexander et $\mathcal{D}$-modules*, Duke Math. J. **60** (1990), no. 3, 729–814.

[31] J.-P. Serre, *Classification des variétés analytiques p-adiques compactes*, Topology **3** (1965), 409–412.

[32] W. Veys, *Holomorphy of local zeta functions for curves*, Math. Annalen **295** (1993), 635–641.

[33] ______, *Poles of Igusa's local zeta function and monodromy*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **121** (1993), 545–598.

Johannes Nicaise, KULeuven, Dept. of Mathematics, Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Leuven, Belgium • *E-mail :* `johannes.nicaise@wis.kuleuven.ac.be`